

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,079	02/03/2004	Frederick B. Growcock	2223-04401	1959
23505	7590 08/19/2005		EXAMINER	
	CONLEY ROSE, P.C. TUCKER, PHILIP			PHILIP C
P. O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/771,079	GROWCOCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip C. Tucker	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Extended 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-45 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The Declaration** 13. **The Declaration** 14. **The Declaration** 15. **The Declaration** 16. **The Declaration** 17. **The Declaration** 18. **The Declaration** 19. **The Declaration** 19. **The Declaration** 10. **The Declaration** 11. **The Declaration** 11. **The Declaration** 11. **The Declaration** 11. **The Declaration** 12. **The Declaration** 13. **The Declaration** 14. **The Declaration** 15. **The Declaration** 16. **The Declaration** 17. **The Declaration** 18. **The Declaration** 19. **The Declaration** 11. **The Declaration** 11. **The Declaration** 12. **The Declaration** 13. **The Declaration** 14. **The Declaration** 15. **The Declaration** 16. **The Declaration** 17. **The Declaration** 18. **The Declaration** 19. **The Declaratio	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	` *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/771,079 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 34 and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brookey et al (6739414 B2), optionally in view of Brookey (6148917).

Brookey '414 teaches a servicing fluid which comprises an aqueous liquid as a continuous phase, one or more surfactants including alkyl ether sulfates (column 5, lines 1-15), aphrons (column 4, lines 36-39), and a viscosifier (column 5, lines 16-31). The fluid further contains a dilatant such as polyvinyl alcohol (see column 8, lines 12-22 and claim 25). Such polyvinyl alcohol would inherently act as an aphron stabilizer. Applicants intended use of the polyvinyl alcohol does not distinguish (In re Pearson 181 USPQ 641). At the levels taught in column 8, line 14, the polyvinyl alcohol would provide the aphrons with a half-live within the scope of claims 37-40. Furthermore, Brookey '414 (column 5, lines 14-15) incorporates Brookey '917 by reference and teaches that surfactants therein may be used. Brookey '917 at column 7, lines 11 and

Application/Control Number: 10/771,079 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

45 teach the use of Betaines as the surfactant. The combination of either the alkylether sulfate or betaine with the polyvinyl alcohol is thus anticipated by the teachings of Brookey '414.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 34-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 16-22 of copending Application No. 10/842002. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although the claims of 10/842002 differ in teaching the use of clays, the claims therein teach a composition which comprises the same aqueous phase, surfactant, aphrons and aphron stabilizers in the same amount as in the present invention, and thus would render the present claims obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, over the claims of 10/842002. Since

Art Unit: 1712

the same level of aphron stabilizer is used, it would be obvious that the same half live amounts of claims 36-40 would be achieved.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 5. Applicants amendment to claim 35 distinguishes over Brookey with respect to this claim and those dependent thereon, since the levels of polyvinyl alcohol differ from those of the present invention. Applicants arguments with respect to claim 34 are not deemed persuasive, since as noted above, specific reference to alkyl ether sulfates, and through incorporation to betaines are made by Brookey '414. such combinations taught in claim 34 are thus instantly envisaged by one of ordinary skill in the art, and thus anticipated. The double patenting rejection is maintained, since the present application is not allowable.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/771,079

Art Unit: 1712

· - · -

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker

Application/Control Number: 10/771,079

Art Unit: 1712

14 4 9

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-3821

Page 6